

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,443	08/16/2001	Anthony Maglica	265/127	3500	
34026	7590 12/18/2002				
JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE			EXAMINER		
	555 WEST FIFTH STREET			NECDON ICMARI	
SUITE 4600			NEGRON, ISMAEL		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1025		•	ART UNIT	DADED MARCHE	
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Ismael Negron 2875		09/932,443	MAGLICA, ANTHONY					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions did me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied able SIX (9) MONTH ST ron the mailing date of the communication. If the period or pay specified above is less than in V(30) days, reply within the statutory minimum or trinty (20) days with a considered timely. If the period reply specified above is less than in V(30) days, reply within the statutory minimum or trinty (20) days with a considered timely. If the period or pays yealthin the set or extended period for reply with the statutory minimum or trinty (20) days with a considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the statutory of the specification is the promotion of the specification is promotion and plantment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b) Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q4 October 2002. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-23 and 66-68 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. Finding the proposed drawing correction filed on 16 august 2002 is/are: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 11 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 □ Akin b) □ Some 1 □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled abilities Six (or MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum studulory period will apply and will expire SIX (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum studulory period will apply and will expire SIX (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply willith these to restreded period for reply will be studently and will expire SIX (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any surred parter than adjustment to see or 7 CFR 1.73(s). Any reply received by the Office later than those months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any surred parter than adjustment to see 7 CFR 1.73(s). Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-65 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. Friority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some *		Ismael Negron	2875					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period to reply specified above is less than thin (60) days, a reply within the stateory minimum of thiny (70) days will be considered imminy. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thin (60) days, a reply within the stateory minimum of thiny (70) days will be considered imminy. If the period to reply is specified above is less than three mailing date of this communication. If the period of the consideration of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O4 October 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition of rallowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 October 2002. 2a	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 and 66-68 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		October 2002 .						
3)								
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 and 66-68 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-65</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 	,							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
, —	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
10/	, —							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of In	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					

Art Unit: 2875

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I, recited in claims 1-23 and 66-68, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "33" has been used to designate both "sealing element" (page 11, line 21) and "one way valve" (page 11, line 27). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In addition, note the following:

- reference character "32", used to designate "region of external threading" (page 11, line 17) and "threads" (page 11, line 32);
- reference character "38", used to designate "spring member" (page 12, line 18) and "spring" (page 12, line 22);
- reference character "**43**" used to designate "sidewall" (page 13, line 13) and "cylindrical wall" (page 13, line 14);
- reference character "41", used to designate "lower insulator receptacle" (page 13, line 10) and "lower insulator" (page 13, line 15);

Art Unit: 2875

reference character "39", used to designate "first conductor" (page 12, line 18) and "insulator receptacle" (page 14, line 28);

- reference character "**59**", used to designate "*spare lamp bulb*" (page 11, line 12) and "*bi-pin lamp bulb*" (page 14, line 29); and
- reference character "91", used to designate "hole" (page 17, line 17) and "segment" (page 17, line 32).

The applicant is advised that the reference characters must be properly applied, with no single reference character being used for two different parts or for a given part and a modification of such part. See MPEP §608.01(g). Correction is required.

3. Applicant is further advised that this action only exemplifies the objections to the drawings, applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting all the occurrences of the cited, or any other errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a)-which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 11-14, 66 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlessel (U.S. Pat. 3,622,832) and Maglica (U.S. Pat. 5,260,858).

Art Unit: 2875

Schlessel discloses an illumination device having:

- a lamp bulb, Figure 1, reference number 2;
- the lamp bulb being a bi-pin lamp bulb, Figure 4;
- a lamp base, Figure 1, reference number 4;
- the lamp bulb being secured to the lamp base, Figure 1;
- the lamp bulb having a pair of electrodes, Figure 1, reference number 9;
- the lamp bulb also having a filament, Figure 1, reference number 3;
- the filament extending between the electrodes, column 2, lines 44-49;
- the lamp bulb being secure to the base with an adhesive,
 Figure 3, reference number 27;
- the adhesive being a ceramic, column 3, lines 46-49; and
- the lamp bulb being secure to the base so that the center of the filament is aligned with a predetermined axis, column 2,

lines 54-64.

Schlessel discloses all the limitations of the claims, except:

- a reflector;
- the reflector having a central opening;
- a lamp base receiver;

Art Unit: 2875

- the lamp base receiver being adjacent the central opening of the reflector;
- the lamp bulb extending through the central opening; and
- the lamp base receiver being adapted to align the lamp base with a principal axis of a reflector.

Maglica discloses an illumination device having:

- a lamp bulb, Figure 2, reference number 60;
- a reflector, Figure 1, reference number 20;
- the reflector having a central opening, Figure 1;
- a lamp base receiver, Figure 2, reference number 58;
- the lamp base receiver being adjacent the central opening of the reflector, Figure 1;
- the lamp bulb extending through the central opening, Figure
 1;and
- the lamp base receiver being adapted to align the lamp base with a principal axis of a reflector, column 4, lines 7-30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to combine the teachings of Schlessel and Maglica, to obtain an illumination device with improved lamp/reflector alignment means, as per the teachings of Schlessel (column 2, lines 54-63) and Maglica (column 1, lines 31-39).

Regarding the filament being align so that its center is displace 0.001 inches or less from the predetermined axis, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/932,443

Art Unit: 2875

Page 6

invention was made, would have being motivated to locate the filament as close as possible to the predetermined axis, as the advantages of locating the filament substantially in such axis are old and well known in the art. Evidence of such old and well-known status can be found in both Schlessel and Maglica.

In addition, it would have being obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made, to locate the filament within the claimed range of the predetermined axis, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only ordinary skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ

5. Claims 4-10, 15-23 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlessel (U.S. Pat. 3,622,832) and Maglica (U.S. Pat. 5,260,858).

The teachings of Schlessel and Maglica (as applied in Section 4 of the instant Office Action) disclose, or suggest in combination, all of the features of the claimed invention, except:

- the lamp base including a solid of revolution;
- the solid of revolution having two holes extending through the base in the direction of the axis of revolution;
- the predetermined axis being the axis of revolution;
- the lamp base generally comprising a frustum of a truncated right circular cone;

Art Unit: 2875

- the sidewall of the cone being tapered at an angle of between 5° and 60°, with respect to the axis of revolution; and
- the sidewall of the cone being tapered at an angle of between 5° and 20°, with respect to the axis of revolution.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use the claimed lamp base structure in the illumination device of Schlessel and Maglica, since the applicant has not disclosed that such specific structure solves any problem or is for a particular reason. It appears that the claimed invention would perform equally well with lamp base structure as suggested by Schlessel and Maglica.

Relevant Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prok (U.S. Pat. 5,535,107), Kalley et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,959,306), Kibler (U.S. Pat. 5,826,971), Chiu (U.S. Pat. 5,826,972), Kibler et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,865,525) and Thummel et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,183,106) disclose flashlights having a reflector with a central opening and a lamp bulb positioned within the reflector by passing through the central opening. Prok and Kalley et al. state the advantages of lamp/reflector alignment.

Wiley (U.S. Pat. 3,313,331), Bottone et al. (U.S. Pat. 3,469,140), Wojtowicz (U.S. Pat. 3,997,808), Bradley (U.S. Pat. 4,287,448) and Kosmatka et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,464,600) disclose lamp bulbs with filament alignment means.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea, can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The facsimile machine number for the Art Group is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Sandra O'Shea

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Inr

P.M.

December 3, 2002